

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,131	04/11/2001	Juin-Hwey Chen	1875.0250003	1569
26111 7590 05/27/2004 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER	
			LEWIS, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20003			2655	5
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/832,131	CHEN, JUIN-HWEY	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael A Lewis	2655	
Per	The MAILING DATE of this communication apriod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reformer if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may ply within the statutory minimum of ti d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo te, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Sta	tus			
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2		is action is non-final.		
	3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma	•	
Dis	position of Claims			
	4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Apı	olication Papers			
	9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
•	0)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• •	
Pric	prity under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
	a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
_	chment(s)	🗖		
2) [Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2. 2.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) b(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by
 Marcellin et al (Advances in Speech Coding; Pub Kluwer Academic Publishers, March 5, 1992).

In regards to claims 1, 12 & 14, Marcellin et al. disclose a Noise Feedback
Coding (NFC) system, a method of searching N predetermined Vector
Quantization (VQ) codevectors for a preferred one of the N VQ codevectors to be
used in coding a speech or audio signal (Fig.1), comprising the steps of: (a)
predicting the speech signal to derive a residual signal (Page 48, Paragraph 3);
(b) deriving a VQ input vector corresponding to a VQ error vector, based on the
residual signal and a corresponding one of the N VQ codevectors (Page 48,
Paragraph 3 – Page 49, Paragraph 2); (c) repeating steps (b) for each of the N
VQ codevectors to produce N VQ error vectors corresponding to the N VQ
codevectors(Page 50, Paragraphs 1 – 2); and (d) selecting the preferred VQ
codevector as a VQ output vector corresponding to the residual signal based on

Art Unit: 2655

the N VQ error vectors (Page 50, Paragraph 2 – Page 51 – Paragraph 1; Eqn 10)*.

In regards to claims 2, 13 & 15, Marcellin et al. disclose the step of: deriving a VQ error energy value corresponding to each of the N VQ error vectors of step (b), wherein step (d) comprises selecting one of the N VQ codevectors corresponding to a minimum error energy value as the preferred VQ codevector (Page 49, Paragraph 1). [Marcellin describes a relationship for the noise feedback filter where a factor μ is chosen with the goal of suppressing the noise spectrum in frequency bands where the input speech has low energy content, thereby decreasing the audibility of the reconstruction noise. The noise spectrum is used to calculate the VQ error energy values].

In regards to claims 3 & 16, Marcellin et al. disclose a step (b) that comprises the steps of: (b)(i) combining the VQ input vector and the one of the N VQ codevectors to produce the corresponding VQ error vector; (b)(ii) filtering at least a portion of the VQ error vector to produce a noise feedback vector (Page 50, Paragraph 1 – 2); and (b)(iii) combining the noise feedback vector and the residual signal to produce the VQ input vector (Eqn. 7 - 10)*.

Art Unit: 2655

In regards to claims 5 & 18, Marcellin et al. disclose the filtering step (b)(ii) comprises filtering the VQ error vector based on an initial filter state corresponding to a previous preferred codevector.

In regards to claims 4, 9, 17 & 22, Marcellin et al. disclose the step (b)(v) comprises one of short-term filtering the VQ error vector, and long-term filtering the VQ error vector (Fig 1(P_S and P_L)).

In regards to claims 7 & 20, Marcellin et al. disclose the predicting step (a) comprises the steps of: (a)(i) predicting the speech signal to produce a predicted speech signal (Page 48, Paragraph 3 – Page 49, Paragraph 1); and (a)(ii) combining the predicted speech signal with the speech signal to produce the residual signal (Fig 1[r_i]) [The residual is the error signal that is the difference between the actual speech signal and the modeled/filtered version of the speech signal].

In regards to claims 8 & 21, Marcellin et al. disclose the step (b) comprises the steps of: (b)(i) combining the residual signal with a noise feedback vectors to produce a predictive quantizer input vector (Fig 1[q_i d_i])) (b)(ii) predicting the predictive quantizer input vector to produce a predicted, predictive quantizer input vector (Fig 1[d_i ; Q]); (b)(iii) combining the predictive quantizer input vector with the predicted, predictive quantizer input vector to produce the VQ input

Art Unit: 2655

vector(Fiq 1 $[d_i, Q; d_{iout}]$); (b)(iv) combining the predicted, predictive quantizer input vector with the VQ codevector to produce a predictive quantizer output vector (Fig 1 $[d_i, Q; d_{iout}]$); and (b)(v) filtering a VQ error vector corresponding to the predictive quantizer output vector to produce the noise feedback vector ((Page 48, Paragraph 3 – Page 49, Paragraph 1; Fig 1 $[d_{iout}; q_i)$)[Fig 1.

In regards to claims 10 & 23, Marcellin et al. disclose the predicting in step (b)(ii) is based on an initial predictor state corresponding to a previous preferred codevector (Page 49, Paragraph 2); and the filtering in step (b)(v) is based on an initial filter state corresponding to the previous preferred codevector (Fig 1, $[x_i = s_i - s_{i-1}]$ where is s_{i-1} is the previous codevector]).

Conclusion

1. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2655

Watts et al.

IEEE (CH2535-3/88/0000-0275)

Chen

U.S. Patent (5745871 & 5651091& 20020069052)

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael A Lewis whose telephone number is 703 305-8730. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 am – 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To can be reached on (703)305-4827. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mal

5/1/2004

Lewis A Michael Examiner

Art Unit 2655

DORIS H. TO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMMER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800